# Growth recovery in patients with BRAF-altered pediatric low-grade gliomas (pLGGs) after discontinuation of tovorafenib

Cassie Kline,<sup>1</sup> Karen D. Wright,<sup>2</sup> Lindsay B. Kilburn,<sup>3</sup> Susan N. Chi,<sup>2</sup> Daniel B. Landi,<sup>4</sup> Jasper van der Lugt,<sup>5</sup> Sarah E. S. Leary,<sup>6</sup> Mohamed Shebl Abdelbaki,<sup>7</sup> Simon Bailey,<sup>8</sup> Karsten Nysom,<sup>9</sup> Dong-Anh Khuong-Quang,<sup>10</sup> Elias Sayour,<sup>11</sup> Olaf Witt,<sup>12</sup> Pablo Hernáiz Driever,<sup>13</sup> Valerie Larouche,<sup>14</sup> Ashley Bailey-Torres,<sup>15</sup> Lindsey Ott,<sup>15</sup> Jiaheng Qiu,<sup>15</sup> Lisa M. McLeod,<sup>15</sup> Sabine Mueller<sup>16,17</sup> <sup>1</sup>Division of Oncology, Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, University, St. Louis, Washington University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle Children's Hospital, University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>2</sup>The Division of Hematology and Oncology, Utrecht, The Netherlands; <sup>6</sup>Seattle Children's Hospital, University, St. Louis, MO, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>3</sup>Childrens National Health System, Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, MO, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, MO, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, MO, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle, WA, USA; <sup>4</sup>Duke University, St. Louis, Washington, Seattle, Washington, Sea <sup>8</sup>Great North Children's Hospital and Newcastle University Centre for Cancer, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; <sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>10</sup>Children's Cancer Centre, Royal Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg, Germany and Clinical Cooperation University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; Department of Pediatric Oncology, Hematology, Immunology and Pulmonology, Heidelberg, Germany; Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Copenhagen, University Hospital, Heidelberg, Germany; Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>10</sup>Children's Cancer Center, Royal Children's Cancer Center Heidelberg, Germany; Department of Pediatric Oncology, Heidelberg, Germany; Department of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine, Copenhagen, Denmark; <sup>10</sup>Children's Cancer Centre, Royal Children's Cancer Center, Royal Children's Cancer Centre, Royal Children's Cancer Center, Royal Children's Cancer Centre, Royal Children's Cancer Center, Royal Children's Cancer Cent Germany; German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), Heidelberg, Germany; National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Heidelberg, Germany; 1<sup>3</sup>Charité Universität Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, corporate member, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Universität Berlin, corporate member of Freie Universität Berlin, corporate member, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Pediatrics, Cantade; 1<sup>5</sup>Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc., Brisbane, CA, USA; 1<sup>6</sup>Department of Neurology, Neurosurgery and Neurology, Neurosurgery and Neurology, Neurosurgery and Neurosurger <sup>7</sup>University of Zurich, University Children's Hospital, Department of Pediatrics, Zurich, Switzerland

### ASCO 2025 Annual Meeting, May 30–June 3, 2025, Chicago, IL, USA. Abstract 10029

# Background

- Tovorafenib is a type II RAF inhibitor with potent ( $IC_{50}=0.7$  nM) activity against CRAF, in addition to BRAF<sup>1–3</sup>
- Based on preclinical data, CRAF plays an essential role in chondrocyte maturation, a required step in linear bone growth
- CRAF is the predominant RAF isoform expressed in hypertrophic chondrocytes<sup>4</sup>
- Chondrocyte-specific CRAF ablation in genetically engineered mouse models reduced the rate of apoptosis in the hypertrophic chondrocyte layer, thereby reducing the rate of new bone formation; indicating an important role of CRAF in growth plate maturation<sup>4–6</sup>
- Children treated with tovorafenib in the investigator-initiated phase 1 PNOC014 (NCT03429803) and pivotal phase 2 FIREFLY-1 (NCT04775485) trials demonstrate a reversible decrease in growth velocity consistent with CRAF inhibition, with no signs of premature closure of growth plates or adverse effects on bone such as fractures or treatment-emergent osteopenia<sup>7,8</sup>
- Here, we report a combined analysis of off treatment growth recovery in patients treated with tovorafenib in 3 clinical trials<sup>7–9</sup>

# **Methods**

- Patients <18 years (yrs) of age with *BRAF*-altered relapsed/refractory pLGG treated with tovorafenib in the investigator-initiated phase 1 PNOC014 trial,<sup>7</sup> phase 2 FIREFLY-1 trial,<sup>8</sup> or Expanded Access Program for tovorafenib (NCT05760586)<sup>9</sup> were included
- Eligible patients had a minimum of 5 cycles of treatment (140 days), with height measurements at baseline (BL) and at least 1 ≥90 days after the last dose of tovorafenib as of November 15, 2024
- Heights and weights were measured every cycle on treatment to inform dosing; off treatment follow-up was variable
- Z-scores for height were calculated to quantify the number of standard deviations each measurement is from the median for age- and sex-specific reference populations based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reference values<sup>10</sup>
- Growth suppression on treatment was defined as at least 0.1 decrease in height-for-age z-score/yr from BL in the piecewise linear mixed effect model (PLMM)
- **Growth recovery** was defined as an increase in post-treatment annualized growth velocity (AGV)<sup>11,12</sup> from on-treatment AGV (total AGV off treatment>total AGV on treatment) in patients with growth suppression on treatment
- Catch-up growth was defined as an increase in post-treatment height z-score and recovery towards BL z-score in patients with growth suppression on treatment and categorized as:
- **Partial catch-up growth:** increase in height z-score, but not reaching BL z-score
- **Complete catch-up growth**: height z-score increases to BL z-score
- The independent effects of key variables on on and off treatment growth velocity were assessed using a PLMM
- Variables included in the final model were: on treatment time, off treatment time, BL z-score, BL age, and sex
- A random effect was included to account for repeated measures in individual patients

Table 1. Patient BL characteristics								
47 (69) 4 (6) 17 (25) <b>68 (100)</b>	Highest Tanner stage on trial Any Stage 1 Stage 2 Stage 3	36 (53) 10 (15) 11 (16) 4 (6)						
27 (40) 41 (60)	Stage 4 Stage 5 Not available	7 (10) 4 (6) 32 (47)						
age (yrs) to <6 12 (18)	≥Grade 2 on treatment hypophosphatemia	31 (46)						
33 (49) 22 (32) 1 (1)	On treatment gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) use	7 (10)						
2 (3)	On treatment GH use	5 (7) <sup>b</sup>						
6 to <12	BL z-score, median (interquartile range [IQR]) Height	0.13 (-0.69-0.69)						
ndocrinopathy <sup>a</sup> Any 29 (43)		0.74 (-0.47-1.84)						
9 (13) 13 (19) 7 (10) 4 (6) 7 (10) 3 (4)	<-2 standard deviations (SDs) >+2 SDs	3 (4) 5 (7)						
	BL weight <-2 SDs >+2 SDs	3 (4) 11 (16)						
	Patient         47 (69)         4 (6)         17 (25)         68 (100)         27 (40)         41 (60)         12 (18)         33 (49)         22 (32)         1 (1)         2 (3)         37 (54)         19 (28)         10 (15)         29 (43)         9 (13)         13 (19)         7 (10)         4 (6)         7 (10)         3 (4)	Patient BL characteristics $47 (69)$ $4 (6)$ Highest Tanner stage on trial Any Stage 1 $17 (25)$ $68 (100)$ Stage 2 $68 (100)$ Stage 3 $27 (40)$ $41 (60)$ Stage 5 $27 (40)$ $41 (60)$ $\geq$ Grade 2 on treatment hypophosphatemia $12 (18)$ $33 (49)$ $\geq$ Grade 2 on treatment gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist (GnRHa) use $2 (3)$ $37 (54)$ On treatment GH use $2 (3)$ $37 (54)$ BL z-score, median (interquartile range [IQR]) Height Weight $29 (43)$ $9 (13)$ $13 (19)$ $7 (10)$ BL height $< -2$ standard deviations (SDs) $> +2$ SDs $7 (10)$ $4 (6)$ BL weight $< -2$ SDs $3 (4)$ >+2 SDs						

Il values are n (%) unless specified otherwise <sup>a</sup>Based on medical history (at BL or reported at any time on treatment). A patient could have had more than 1 endocrinopathy. patient (1%) was started on GH treatment when treatment with tovorafenib was initiated

### Figure 1. Height-for-age percentiles on and off tovorafenib treatment

### Time on treatment

median (IQR) 23.9 (8.3–36.9) mos 40 -20 · 6 mos 12 mos (n=68) (n=68) (n=65)

Median and IQR are shown for height percentile at 6-mo intervals during and 3-mo intervals after treatment with tovorafenib. Mos, months.



in **Figure 3**)

Results



• 4 patients with no on treatment growth suppression were excluded from growth recovery and catch-up growth analyses (denoted with an 'X'



### Table 2. Significant effects on annual height z-score changes from PLMM

	PLMM estimates							
			Annual on treatment change		Annual off treatment change			
	BL age (yrs)	n	AGV (cm)	z-score	AGV (cm)	z-score		
Male (n=41)	2-<6	8	2.41	-0.88	11.63	1.12		
	6–<12	21	1.63	-0.67	10.12	0.65		
	12-<16	12	0.82	-0.45	4.96	0.43		
	16–≤25	0	0.39	-0.13	3.63	0.40		
Female (n=27)	2-<6	4	2.28	-0.74	8.11	0.72		
	6–<12	12	1.50	-0.53	6.61	0.25		
	12-<16	10	0.69	-0.31	1.44	0.03		
	16–≤25	1	0.26	0.01	0.11	0.01		

- Annual changes in on/off treatment z-score were significantly (P<0.05) associated with age and sex
- Duration of treatment was not associated with rate of off treatment z-score rebound
- Overall, post-treatment AGV exceeded on treatment AGV across age and sex groups
- Tanner stage, CPP/GnRHa, GH, and hypophosphatemia were not significantly associated with on/off height z-score changes (data not shown)

### Presenting author: Jasper van der Lugt, MD, PhD; J.vanderLugt@prinsesmaximacentrum.nl

- observed for both males and females
- Males had more robust rebound growth compared with age-matched females, despite experiencing a faster z-score decrease
- Slower post-treatment growth was observed in older patients, which may reflect the natural growth rate decrease with age; assessment of bone age and Tanner stage may better inform longer-term growth potential
- The degree to which tumor-associated endocrinopathies/treatments and later Tanner stage impacted growth rates is unclear, and early consultation with endocrinologists may identify other potential impacts on growth potential
- Growth effects of tovorafenib appear to be reversible in most patients and catch-up growth is observed early in the post-treatment period. Monitoring of patients through completion of growth is ongoing and will further characterize off treatment growth and catch-up patterns

## References

1. Sun Y, et al. Neuro Oncol. 2017;19(6):774–785. 2. Tkacik E, et al. J Biol Chem. 2023;299(5):104634. 3. Rasco DW, et al. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol. 2023;92(1):15–28. 4. Liu ES, et al. Development. 2016;143(2):348–355. 5. Provot S, et al. Mol Cell Biol. 2008;28(1):344–357. 6. Papaioannou G, et al. J Biol Chem. 2017;292(8):3164–3171. 7. Wright K, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2024;42(suppl 16):10001. 8. Kilburn LB, et al. Nat Med. 2024;30(1):207–217. 9. Clinicaltrials.gov (NCT05760586) https://clinicaltrials.gov/study/NCT05760586. Accessed April 7, 2025. 10. CDC height reference values, 2000; https://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/data/set1clinical/cj41c021.pdf; 2000; Accessed April 30, 2025. 11. Kelly A, et al. J Clin Endocrin Metabol. 2014;99(6):2104–2112. 12. Growth Velocity Calculator (Kelly); https://childmetrics.org/GrowthVelocity. Accessed April 30, 2025. Funding: The clinical studies on which these post-hoc analyses are derived were funded by Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. Acknowledgements: The authors would like to thank Katherine Pehlivan and Yeonhee Kim from Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc. for their contributions. Medical writing support and editorial assistance was provided by the Bioscript Group, funded by Day One Biopharmaceuticals, Inc.